



I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART SEVEN OF SIXTEEN

*“Cash for Kids” Federal Judges Gee, Stevenson, and Abrams Dismiss Katie Johnson’s Case
Against Child Predator Donald J. Trump.*

	Page
I. TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	1
II. THE FEDERAL CASH FOR KIDS JUDGES CONTINUED.....	2
1. Judge Dolly Maizie Gee & Judge Karen L. Stevenson- <i>Katie Johnson v. Donald J. Trump, C.D.C.</i>	2
2. Judge Ronnie Abrams- <i>Katie Johnson v. Donald J. Trump, S.D.N.Y.</i>	9

I. THE FEDERAL CASH FOR KIDS JUDGES CONTINUED



1. Judge Dolly Maizie Gee & Judge Karen L. Stevenson

Case: *Katie Johnson v. Donald J. Trump* (Case No. 5:16-cv-00797, Central District of California).

<https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/4154484/katie-johnson-v-donald-j-trump/>

Case Text: Judges Dolly Maizie Gee and Karen L. Stevenson are named for their failure to protect a child sex trafficking survivor who came forward with grave allegations implicating two of the most powerful men in modern history: Jeffrey Epstein and Donald J. Trump. In *Katie Johnson v. Donald J. Trump et al.*, a pro se complaint was filed detailing horrifying accounts of rape, imprisonment, and child trafficking taking place at Epstein's Manhattan residence when Plaintiff was just 13 years old.



Katie Johnson



Rather than treat the allegations with the gravity, sensitivity, and procedural protections they warranted, the court system, under the supervision of Judge Gee and discovery magistrate Judge Stevenson, allowed the case to be summarily dismissed under procedural technicalities and vague justifications surrounding identity verification and venue. Despite the severity of the crimes alleged—felony child rape, conspiracy, and interstate trafficking—the court exhibited no effort to investigate the claims or provide Katie Johnson with due process. The case was dismissed without a single evidentiary hearing, without witness protection, and without affording the Plaintiff access to basic procedural accommodations available to pro se litigants in federal court. What followed was even more chilling, Johnson vanished from the public sphere. On the eve of a scheduled press conference in November 2016, Johnson reportedly dropped the case citing threats to her safety, and has not been publicly heard from since. Judges Gee and

Stevenson, rather than triggering federal protections under the Trafficking Victims Protection Act or 18 U.S.C. §§ 1591–1595, permitted this case to be buried—functionally enabling the continued concealment of one of the most disturbing criminal conspiracies in U.S. history. Their role in suppressing the case and failing to act on federal mandates to investigate trafficking allegations amounts to obstruction of justice and judicial misconduct. At a time when the judiciary should have stood as a shield for the innocent, it instead served as a sword for the powerful. What followed the case’s dismissal only deepened the suspicion of a cover-up. Sometime after Johnson’s sudden withdrawal—reportedly due to death threats—a coordinated media smear campaign emerged to discredit her entirely. Rather than pursue the shocking claims under the scrutiny they deserved, establishment-aligned media outlets published defamatory narratives portraying "Katie Johnson" as a drug-addicted, mentally unstable individual, thereby sabotaging her credibility post-dismissal. However, these stories featured a woman who bore no physical resemblance to the original plaintiff—a planted decoy meant to confuse the public and erase the authentic survivor’s identity from the cultural memory. It is critical to note that Katie Johnson was documented as having blue eyes, while the media figure substituted in the smear campaign did not share this characteristic.



This blatant substitution evidences a deliberate misrepresentation, used to falsely depict Johnson as an unreliable source and undermine public sympathy. This disinformation operation mirrors known tactics used in trafficking cover-ups; coerce the victim into silence, then destroy her reputation beyond repair. In doing so, the machinery of media, judiciary, and political power worked in concert to eliminate not just her voice—but her existence, if she truly even still alive. Since that day in November 2016, Katie Johnson has never been seen or heard from again. No further filings, interviews, press statements, or legal motions have emerged under her name or pseudonym. Her complete disappearance from public life raises severe red flags and prompts the urgent need for investigation under laws protecting endangered witnesses and trafficking victims.

WE *the* PEOPLE further assert that:

1. The withdrawal of Johnson's complaint under threat and duress constitutes witness tampering under 18 U.S.C. § 1512, and the court's failure to act invokes liability under 28 U.S.C. § 455 and 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242.

2. The smear campaign, launched immediately after the coerced dismissal, was not journalistic error, but part of a strategic psychological operation to destroy the Plaintiff's identity and shield the accused—Donald J. Trump and Jeffrey Epstein—from accountability.
3. The courts, by refusing to even hold a preliminary hearing or secure witness protection, and by allowing a child sex trafficking victim to face her abusers without legal safeguards, violated both the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) and the Constitutional guarantees of due process under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

Judges Gee and Stevenson did not merely mishandle a pro se case—they oversaw its intentional burial, ignoring the federal mandates to protect victims of trafficking and allowing the government's inaction to aid in the Plaintiff's erasure. Whether through willful negligence or active complicity, their conduct enabled the suppression of evidence that could have exposed elite child sex trafficking at the highest levels of American and international power. Therefore, their judicial inaction and refusal to uphold statutory protections rendered the court system an instrument of obstruction rather than justice. Katie Johnson's case remains a permanent indictment of a judiciary that abandoned its oath to the vulnerable and protected the powerful instead. As such, both Judge Gee and Judge Stevenson are now named as complicit actors in the

obstruction of justice, cover-up of child trafficking, and denial of civil rights under color of law.

1	
2	PARTIES
3	3. The Plaintiff, Katie Johnson, resides in the State of California.
4	4. The Defendants, Donald J. Trump and Jeffrey E. Epstein, each reside in the State of
5	New York.
6	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
7	5. The Plaintiff, Katie Johnson, alleges that the Defendants, Donald J. Trump and Jeffrey E.
8	Epstein, did willfully and with extreme malice violate her Civil Rights under 18 U.S.C. ; 2241 by
9	sexually and physically abusing Plaintiff Johnson by forcing her to engage in various perverted and
10	depraved sex acts by threatening physical harm to Plaintiff Johnson and also her family.
11	6. The Plaintiff, Katie Johnson, alleges that the Defendants, Donald J. Trump and Jeffrey E.
12	Epstein, also did willfully and with extreme malice violate her Civil Rights under 42 U.S.C. ; 1985 by
13	conspiring to deny Plaintiff Johnson her Civil Rights by making her their sex slave.
14	7. The Plaintiff, Katie Johnson, alleges she was subject to extreme sexual and physical abuse by
15	the Defendants, Donald J. Trump and Jeffrey E. Epstein, including forcible rape during a four
16	month time span covering the months of June-September 1994 when Plaintiff Johnson was still only
17	a minor of age 13.
18	8. The Plaintiff, Katie Johnson, alleges she was enticed by promises of money and a modeling
19	career to attend a series of underage sex parties held at the New York City residence of Defendant
20	Jeffrey E. Epstein and attended by Defendant Donald J. Trump.
21	9. On the first occasion involving the Defendant, Donald J. Trump, the Plaintiff, Katie Johnson,
22	was forced to manually stimulate Defendant Trump with the use of her hand upon Defendant
23	Trump's erect penis until he reached sexual orgasm.
24	10. On the second occasion involving the Defendant, Donald J. Trump, the Plaintiff, Katie
25	Johnson, was forced to orally copulate Defendant Trump by placing her mouth upon Defendant
26	Trump's erect penis until he reached sexual orgasm.
27	
28	2
	CV-126 (09/09) PLEADING PAGE FOR A COMPLAINT

1 11. On the third occasion involving the Defendant, Donald J. Trump, the Plaintiff, Katie Johnson,
2 was forced to engage in an unnatural lesbian sex act with her fellow minor and sex slave, Maria Doe
3 age 12, for the sexual enjoyment of Defendant Trump. After this sex act, both minors were forced
4 to orally copulate Defendant Trump by placing their mouths simultaneously on his erect penis until
5 he achieved sexual orgasm. After zipping up his pants, Defendant Trump physically pushed both
6 minors away while angrily berating them for the "poor" quality of their sexual performance.

7 12. On the fourth and final sexual encounter with the Defendant, Donald J. Trump, the Plaintiff,
8 Katie Johnson, was tied to a bed by Defendant Trump who then proceeded to forcibly rape Plaintiff
9 Johnson. During the course of this savage sexual attack, Plaintiff Johnson loudly pleaded with
10 Defendant Trump to "please wear a condom". Defendant Trump responded by violently striking
11 Plaintiff Johnson in the face with his open hand and screaming that "he would do whatever he
12 wanted" as he refused to wear protection. After achieving sexual orgasm, the Defendant, Donald J.
13 Trump put his suit back on and when the Plaintiff, Katie Johnson, in tears asked Defendant Trump
14 what would happen if he had impregnated her, Defendant Trump grabbed his wallet and threw
15 some money at her and screamed that she should use the money "to get a fucking abortion".

16 13. On the first occasion involving the Defendant, Jeffrey E. Epstein, the Plaintiff, Katie Johnson,
17 was forced to disrobe into her bra and panties and to give a full body massage to Defendant Epstein
18 while he was completely naked. During the massage, Defendant Epstein physically forced Plaintiff
19 Johnson to touch his erect penis with her bare hands and to clean up his ejaculated semen after he
20 achieved sexual orgasm.

21 14. On the second occasion involving the Defendant, Jeffrey Epstein, the Plaintiff, Katie Johnson,
22 was again forced to disrobe into her bra and panties while giving Defendant Epstein a full body
23 massage while he was completely naked. The Defendant, Donald J. Trump, was also present as he
24 was getting his own massage from another minor, Jane Doe, age 13. Defendant Epstein forced
25 Plaintiff Johnson to touch his erect penis by physically placing her bare hands upon his sex organ
26 and again forced Plaintiff Johnson to clean up his ejaculated semen after he achieved sexual orgasm.

1. Judge Ronnie Abrams




Case: *Katie Johnson v. Donald J. Trump* (Case No. 1:16-cv-07673, Southern District of New York).

https://www.scribd.com/document/630538456/5-Katie-Johnson-vs-Trump-2016-pdf?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Case Text: Judge Ronnie Abrams is named for her silent complicity in the abrupt and unexplained closure of one of the most serious child trafficking and rape cases ever filed against a sitting U.S. presidential candidate. After the original complaint (*Katie Johnson*

v. Donald J. Trump, filed in California) was refiled in the Southern District of New York under the pseudonym *Jane Doe*, the case—*1:16-cv-07673*—was assigned to Judge Abrams, whose courtroom became the final destination for a legal cry for help that was never heard. Despite the horrific nature of the claims—detailed accounts of repeated rape and threats against a 13-year-old girl by both Trump and Epstein—Judge Abrams took no action to protect the Plaintiff. Media reports say the suit was dropped quietly—some attorneys cited “numerous threats.” No formal judgment was issued, but the case was closed. No hearings were held. No subpoenas were issued. No protective measures were triggered. Instead, the docket reflects a passive burial: the case was voluntarily dismissed in November 2016, with no formal opinion, no judgment, and no judicial inquiry into the widely reported threats received by the Plaintiff. The silence from the bench was not neutrality—it was complicity. This case did not exist in a vacuum. It was filed amid mounting evidence of Epstein’s trafficking empire, years before the public would see his second arrest and suspicious death. It was backed by detailed affidavits, including from a witness named “Tiffany Doe,” who claimed to have personally witnessed the abuse. And yet Judge Abrams—despite her position in one of the most powerful judicial districts in the world—did nothing to preserve the record, nothing to ensure the safety of the Plaintiff, and nothing to refer the matter for criminal investigation. In the context of growing federal patterns of judicial cover-up, Judge Abrams’ role in this case stands as a definitive moment where the courts failed to uphold even the most basic tenets of justice. She is now named as a co-conspirator in the concealment of federal crimes, obstruction of justice, and the systemic protection of child traffickers operating with political and financial influence immunity.

Jeffery Epstein's Private Island- A Dungeon for Children



A Missing Child Displayed on the Kitchen Wall of Epstein's Island.



A Two Decade Pattern: Trump's Normalization of Pedophilia

